

STATE OF MICHIGAN
COURT OF APPEALS

In the Matter of TERRANCE WARD, JERVON
WARD, GUYBRIA WARD, RAY HOLMES, JR.,
ROBERT ROGERS, JHYRA ROGERS, CHARLES
ROGERS and RICKY MCGHEE, a/k/a RICKY
MCGEE, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

MARY WARD,

Respondent-Appellant,

and

CHARLES ROGERS, RAY HOLMES, SR. and
RICHARD MCGEE,

Respondents.

In the Matter of ROBERT ROGERS, JHYRA
ROGERS and CHARLES ROGERS, Minors.

FAMILY INDEPENDENCE AGENCY,

Petitioner-Appellee,

v

CHARLES ROGERS, SR.,

UNPUBLISHED

August 13, 1999

No. 214398

Calhoun Circuit Court

Family Division

LC No. 95-000049 NA

No. 216092

Calhoun Circuit Court

Family Division

Respondent-Appellant,

and,

MARY WARD, RAY HOLMES, SR., and
RICHARD MCGEE,

Respondents.

Before: White, P.J., and Markey and Wilder, JJ.

MEMORANDUM.

In these consolidated cases, respondents-appellants appeal as of right from the family court order terminating their parental rights to the minor children pursuant to MCL 712A.19b(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(3)(c)(i), (g) and (j). We affirm.

The family court did not clearly err in finding that statutory grounds for termination were established by clear and convincing evidence. MCR 5.974(I), *In re Miller*, 433 Mich 331, 337; 445 NW2d 161 (1989). Further, respondent-appellants failed to show that termination of their parental rights was clearly not in the children's best interests. MCL 712A.19b(5); MSA 27.3178(598.19b)(5); *In re Hall-Smith*, 222 Mich App 470; 564 NW2d 156 (1997). Thus, the family court did not err in terminating respondents-appellants' parental rights to the children. *Id.*

Affirmed.

/s/ Helene N. White

/s/ Jane E. Markey

/s/ Kurtis T. Wilder